ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen)

&

The Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Chanda

Case No. <u>CCP - 54 of 2017</u>

Mr. Kousik Chatterjee, the Secretary, Irrigation & Waterways Department, Government of West

Serial No. and date of order Office of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 1 2 3 07 13/04/2018 For the Applicants : Mr. Sankha Ghosh, Mr. Ranjit Kumar Mondal, Advocates. 3 For the State Respondents : Mr. Debobrata Kole, Advocate. 5 For the Finance Department : NONE. 7 The Counsel for the applicants today has submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. 1 However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. 1 Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. 1 In view of the above, the respondents / contemnors are granted, as prayed by the Counsel 1		Kumar Jha & Others. Versus Bengal & Others.	
1 2 3 07 13/04/2018 For the Applicants : Mr. Sankha Ghosh, Mr. Ranjit Kumar Mondal, Advocates. Mr. Ranjit Kumar Mondal, Advocates. For the State Respondents : Mr. Debobrata Kole, Advocate. For the Finance Department : NONE. For the Finance Department : NONE. The Counsel for the applicants today has submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /			and dated signature of
13/04/2018 For the Applicants : Mr. Sankha Ghosh, Mr. Ranjit Kumar Mondal, Advocates. For the State Respondents : Mr. Debobrata Kole, Advocate. For the Finance Department : NONE. The Counsel for the applicants today has submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /	1	2	3
Advocate. For the Finance Department : NONE. The Counsel for the applicants today has submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		Mr. Ranjit Kumar Mond	lal,
The Counsel for the applicants today has submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		-	
submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016 has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		For the Finance Department : NONE.	
has not been complied with. However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		The Counsel for the applicants today has	
However, the Counsel for the respondents / contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		submitted that till date the order dated 09.12.2016	
contemnors has again prayed for some time to file compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		has not been complied with.	
compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		However, the Counsel for the respondents /	
pending before the higher authority. Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		contemnors has again prayed for some time to file	
Heard the parties. We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		compliance report, as, according to the Counsel it is	
We are not convinced with the contention made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		pending before the higher authority.	
made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		Heard the parties.	
order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		We are not convinced with the contention	
time was given to consider the representation of the applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		made by the respondents / contemnors, since, the	
applicants in terms of the Finance Department's Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		order was passed on 09.12.2016 and sufficient	
Memo dated 25/02/2016. In view of the above, the respondents /		time was given to consider the representation of the	
In view of the above, the respondents /		applicants in terms of the Finance Department's	
		Memo dated 25/02/2016.	
contemnors are granted, as prayed by the Counsel		In view of the above, the respondents /	
		contemnors are granted, as prayed by the Counsel	
for the respondents / contemnors, a last chance of		for the respondents / contemnors, a last chance of	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Tridip Kumar Jha & Others.

Nr. Kousik Chatterjee, the Secretary, Irrigation & Waterways Department, Government of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. CCP -	- 54 of 2017	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
1	2	parties when necessary 3
	two weeks time to comply with the order and to file	
	compliance report, in default, the respondent /	
	contemnor no. 2 i.e. Mr. Basudev Chowdhury, the	
	Director of Personnel & Ex-Officio Chief Engineer,	
	Irrigation & Waterways Department be present	
	before the Court on the next date.	
	The Registry is directed to sent a copy of	
	this order to respondent / contemnor no. 2 i.e.	
	Mr. Basudev Chowdhury, the Director of	
	Personnel & Ex-Officio Chief Engineer,	
	Irrigation & Waterways Department.	
	Let the matter be listed on 06.06.2018 .	
Rajat	Dr. A. K. CHANDA URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Tridip Kumar Jha & Others.

Vs Mr. Kousik Chatterjee, the Secretary, Irrigation & Waterways Department, Government of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. CCP – 5	54 of 2017	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
		parties when necessary.
1	2	3